

**University of Arkansas at Little Rock
Assessment Progress Report Form / Spring 2007**

William H. Bowen School of Law

Degree Program: Juris Doctor (J.D.)
Department and College: Law, William H. Bowen School of Law
Prepared by: Bowen School of Law Assessment Committee: Susan D. Goldner, Professor of Law Librarianship (chair); Adjoa A. Aiyetoro, Assistant Professor of Law; Coleen Miller Barger, Associate Professor of Law; Sarah Howard Jenkins, Charles Baum Distinguished Professor of Law; June Stewart, Associate Professor of Law, Associate Dean for Information and Technology Services, Law Library Director

Use of Assessment for Program Building and Improvement:

The most important goal for any assessment project is to provide information to build and improve programs. This section should, therefore, be weighted the most heavily of all in your overall score evaluation.

Please discuss how you have used assessment findings this past year to understand, improve, and/or make decisions regarding the program. What have been your main findings? How did you analyze them? How do you interpret them? Have these findings led to making any significant changes in your program? If so, what are they? Please focus on evidence for or proposed changes that will lead to improvements in student learning outcomes.

1.) Law School Survey of Student Engagement

The School of Law joined sixty-four other law schools in participating in the third annual Law School Survey of Student Engagement (LSSSE), in which approximately 24,000 law students responded to the survey. The students answered questions about their academic environment and the effect it has on their learning, their satisfaction with the law school experience, and the ways they manage the many demands on their time. Among other topics covered by LSSSE were students' preparation for and participation in class, their academic relationships with other students and their professors, and their perceptions of the levels to which they felt challenged to meet high expectations.

LSSSE provided the School of Law with four kinds of data:

- (a) Data file of our student responses, including write-in comments;

(b) Summary of our student respondent characteristics and a comparison to those of students at our peer institutions;

© Mean comparison report of student responses for our school to our peer institutions and to all of the respondents;

(d) Frequency report of our student responses, our peer institution responses and an aggregation of all responses.

See attachment 1 for the summary of characteristics report and the mean comparison report. A complete report is available in the office of the Associate Dean for Academic Affairs.

The response that was most interesting to the faculty is that our students reported spending less time preparing for class and more time working at outside jobs than the average student nationally. This and other factors were considered in decision making by the Curriculum Committee.

3) Classroom Assessment

(a) Socratic Dialogue Instruction Method

The School of Law continues to follow the Socratic Dialogue method of instruction as its most prevalent and effective classroom assessment device, which is a teaching technique employed at least to a degree in nearly all law school classes. This in-class question and answer dialogue with students allows the faculty member to assess the extent to which students have read material assigned for class, the level of the students' understanding of underlying theoretical and policy themes, and the degree of maturation of student critical thinking skills. Using the Socratic method, faculty can make instant adjustments in teaching technique and course coverage in reaction to the level of student learning and understanding revealed during the dialogue.

(b) Other Faculty Classroom Assessment Activities

The most prevalent forms of classroom assessment involve problem-based application exercises, faculty-directed reviews, and reflective writing assignments, along with some specialized techniques for student self-assessment. See attachment 2 for faculty descriptions of their assessment activities.

© Assessment in the Legal Clinic Programs

In Litigation Clinic, students complete evaluations of clinic orientation. In Mediation Clinic, Tax Clinic, and Litigation Clinic, students assess the entire clinical experience at the end of the semester. Students are asked to assess the supervisor's competence, the class component, and the general clinical experience itself.

Furthermore, the Litigation Clinic clients and the individuals who participate in mediation are given satisfaction surveys at the conclusion of the service. These surveys are used to help the clinic director and the supervisors in the individual clinics assess how effective the services were to the population receiving them. See attachment 3 for samples of assessment instruments used in the Clinics.

Faculty and Stake Holder Involvement:

Please describe how faculty, students, and other stakeholders have been involved in the assessment process and the decisions arising out of assessment findings. How have you shared the results and your interpretation with your stakeholders?

(a) In response to LSSSE information, the Admissions Committee tightened standards for admission of transfer students.

(b) In response to LSSSE data, the rule governing student employment was tightened so that first-year students can only work for the law school.

(e) See Legal Clinic assessment programs above for a description of satisfaction surveys distributed to students, clients, and patrons of Litigation, Mediation, and Tax Clinics.

Approach:

Help place your efforts for the time period reported here in context for your reader by briefly summarizing the goals and student learning objectives of your program. Were there any significant changes since last year's report?

The goal of the School of Law is to provide a challenging legal education that equips its graduates with the skills, analytical ability, and sense of ethical and professional responsibility that is essential to counsel and represent the public successfully, competently, and professionally

Plan No. 103

throughout their careers in law. The School's goal for graduates who will not use their degrees as practicing attorneys is that the skills, values, and knowledge gained in law school will enhance their effectiveness in other occupations. The central learning objectives at the school of law are for each law student to

(a) be able to identify and formulate the legal issues and theories that apply to the facts of a described situation or problem;

(b) have knowledge of, and ability to use, fundamental tools of legal research, including understanding the process of devising and implementing a coherent and effective research design;

(c) possess basic knowledge of the nature and sources of ethical standards of the legal profession and processes for recognizing and addressing ethical dilemmas;

(d) show an understanding of the significance of being a member of the legal profession by striving to promote justice and fairness through the tradition of leadership and public service in a wide range of professional and other community involvement;

(e) have confidence in legal problem-solving skills and concepts, including identifying and diagnosing a problem, determining the need for planning factual investigation, identifying and formulating relevant legal theories, generating alternative solutions and strategies, and developing and implementing a plan of action.

During the past year there were no changes in the goals and student learning objectives of the program of the School of Law.

What methods did you use to measure the student learning outcome objective(s) assessed since last year's report? Have there been any significant changes here? If so, please explain why. What measures do you propose to use next year? How are you addressing the reliability and validity of those measures?

(a) The methods used to measure student learning outcome objectives assessed since last year's report are described above under "Use of Assessment for Program Building and Improvement."

(b) The Bowen School of Law will participate in the 2007 LSSSE.

How does what you did this past year fit into the overall assessment plan for your program? Please include any changes you may have made to your plan in response to last year's feedback. Do you plan to make any changes in your assessment plan itself? What will your targets be for the coming assessment year?

1) Overall Assessment Plan for the School of Law Program

This year's assessment activities have been consistent with the School of Law's overall assessment plan. No changes have been made to the School of Law Assessment Plan in response to last year's feedback and no changes in the assessment plan are now contemplated.

2) School of Law Assessment Targets for the Coming Assessment Year

(a) Analyze Data from 2005 and 2006 LSSSE

Analyze the data received from the 2005, 2006 and 2007 LSSSE. With data from three years to examine, faculty and administrators at the School of Law will get an even clearer picture of the students' experiences.

(b) Continue Ongoing Assessment Activities

For the coming year the School of Law will also continue to engage in all of the ongoing assessment activities described in this report.

Assessing Assessment (for information purposes only—not to be rated by readers panels):

a). What changes, if any, would you recommend in the assessment process in your college or in the university as a whole?

Next year's Assessment Committee should consider redoing the alumni/employer survey done in July 2002, in order to augment student and alumni survey data with employer perceptions.

The School of Law Assessment Committee will to continue to encourage faculty to develop and share classroom assessment techniques.

The School of Law has no recommendations for changes to the assessment process in the University as a whole.

b). What additional resources could the university provide that would be of most help to you in your assessment efforts?

To the extent that the University could provide assessment funds to assist the School of Law, we would like to use those resources to bring in speakers, conduct workshops, and otherwise improve the level and quality of our assessment activities.

c). Please estimate what resources you have spent on assessment this last year, including both time and money.

The School of Law has received no funding to support assessment activities. Since the Assessment Committee does not request that individuals involved in assessment activities report time and money expended, the Assessment Committee is unable to accurately estimate the amount of time or money spent on assessment except to say that the time devoted to assessment activities has been significant.

d). Courses offered through distance learning technologies are becoming a part of more and more programs. These courses should be treated like any other course offered by a department—including being part of the assessment of the program. For example, if students are supposed to include something from every upper-level course in their major, then they need to include distance as well as on-campus courses. What guidelines have you put into place to be sure that courses offered through distance learning technology are included in the assessment of the program?

The School of Law does not offer any distance learning course or courses utilizing distance learning technologies.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1. 2005 LSSSE Report

Attachment 2. Classroom Assessment Activities Reported by Law Faculty in Professional Activity Reports.

Attachment 2. Legal Clinic Evaluation Instruments.

Attachments are not appended to the on-line version of the School of Law's Assessment Progress Report, but are available for inspection upon request to the Office of the Dean at the Bowen School of Law or the Chair of the Assessment Committee.